

G.O.(2D) No. 4 , Small Industries Department , dated 24.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Basic Services - IFC Department - Thiru G.Ganesan, formerly watch man, District Industries Centre, Madurai – Disciplinary action - Punishment awarded - Appeal against punishment – Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 5 , Small Industries Department , dated 24.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Industries and Commerce Department - Thiru G.M. Tamiz, formerly Assistant, District Industries Centre , Salem - Expired on 6.5.2006 - Abatement of Disciplinary proceedings - Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 8 , Small Industries Department , dated 22.2.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru N.A.Sivaramachandran, Project Manager, District Industries Centre, Thirunelveli – Retired on 30.6.2002 A.N on attaining the age superannuation - Regulation of the suspension period – Orders -Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 10 , Small Industries Department , dated 1.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - TNISS - Thiru K.Sethuraman, ICO - Regulation of period of suspension - Orders- Issued – Period from 4.10.99 to 19.12.99 as compulsory wait notification.

G.O.(2D) No. 11 , Small Industries Department , dated 7.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru S.Perumal, IC office (Retd.) / formerly Secretary, Sivakasi Printers Service Industrial Cooperative Society – Permitted to retire from Government service on 31.8.93 A.N. – Regulation of period of suspension - Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 12 , Small Industries Department , dated 7.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru M.Ramasamy, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (IC) (Redt) / formerly Branch Manager – TAICO Bank , Coimbatore – Permitted to retire from Government service on 31.10.96 A.N – Regulation of period of suspension – Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 16 , Small Industries Department , dated 12.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - TNISS - Thiru R.Venugopal, Supervisor of Industrial cooperatives / formerly Secretary, the Nilgiris District Harijan Tea Chest Industrial Cooperatives society – Retired on 31.12.1994 A.N on attaining the age of superannuation - Regulation of the suspension of period – Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 19 , Small Industries Department , dated 22.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services – TNISS - Thiru S.Sheik Mohamed, ICO , Pernampet Leather finishing ICS , Vellore District - Placed under suspension and not allowed to retire on 30.4.1995 A.N – Revocation of suspension and to retire from service - Orders - Issued.

Lr.(2D) No. 20 , Small Industries Department , dated 22.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - TNISS – Thiru S.Sheik Mohamed, ICO, Pernampet Leather Finishing ICS, Vellore District - Permitted to retire on 30.4.1995 - With holding Death cum Retirement Gratuity amount - Legal action taken - Reg.

G.O.(2D) No. 21 , Small Industries Department , dated 23.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - TNISS - Thiru N.Cholan, ICO (Retd.) formerly Manager, Venkatachalapuram Harijan Brick Workers Industrials Cooperatives Society , Konnaikudi - Permitted to retire from Government service on 30.6.1996 A.N – Regulation of period of suspension - Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 22 , Small Industries Department , dated 24.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru A.Palanisamy, formerly General Manager , District Industries Centre, Coimbatore (retd) – Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No.23 , Small Industries Department , dated 24.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru K.Abdul Hai Maricar, General Manager (retd) / formerly General Manager Grade II , District Industries Centre , Nagapattinam – Disciplinary action taken - Final orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 28 , Small Industries Department , dated 8.5.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Ministerial Services - Thiru G.Karthikeyan, Junior Assistant , District Industries Centre , Nagapattinam – Appeal against punishment of stoppage of increment for one year without cumulative effect - Revision petition – Rejected orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 36 , Small Industries Department , dated 7.6.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - TNISS - Thiru C.Venkatasaperumal, ICO formerly Special officer, North Arcot District Small Scale Fabricators Service Industrial Cooperatives Society (FABCO), Vellore – Appeal against punishment of stoppage of increment for six months with cumulative effect - Appeal Rejected – Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 41 , Small Industries Department , dated 31.7.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru V.Ganapathe , General Manager , District Industries Centre , Karur – Placed under suspension and not allowed to retire on 31.1.1999 A.N - Revocation of suspension and permission to retire from service without prejudice to the disciplinary case pending against him – Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 42 , Small Industries Department , dated 31.7.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru T.Ramachandran, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce Department (Retd) / formerly Superintendent , General Purpose Engineers workshop ITT, Chekkanurani - Disciplinary action taken – Final Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 44 , Small Industries Department , dated 5.9.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru N.Jayabalan, General Manager , District Industries Centre, Krishnagiri District - Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 45 , Small Industries Department , dated 5.9.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru S.M,Rasumani, General Manager , District Industries Centre, Erode District - Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 46 , Small Industries Department , dated 5.9.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru A.Chandrasekaran, Junior Engineer(Industrial) District Industries Centre , Namakkal - Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 48 , Small Industries Department , dated 10.9.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru V.Rajappan, General Manager , District Industries Centre, Tiruchirappalli - Retired on 30.6.2004 A.N on attaining the age of superannuation – Regulation of the suspension period – Orders – Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 51 , Small Industries Department , dated 30.10.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru M.Krishnasai, Superintendent, Government Service Centre for Ceramics, Vridhachalam - Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 52 , Small Industries Department , dated 30.10.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru Thirumurugan, Principal, Institute of Ceramic Technology, Vridhachalam - Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O.(2D) No. 53 , Small Industries Department , dated 31.10.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru T.N.Ponnusamy, Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (IC) , Guindy , Chennai-32 - Permitted to retire from Government Service – without prejudice to the disciplinary proceedings pending against him – Orders – Issued.

G.O (4D)

G.O(4D) No. 19, Small Industries Department dated 30.5.2007

ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Ministerial Services - Industries and Commerce Department- Thiru G.Manivel, S/o (Late) Thiru G.Gunasekaran, formerly Semi - Skilled worker – Appointment as Junior Assistant under compassionate ground with effect from 8.4.99 F.N – Regularisation of service – Orders – Issued.

ABSTRACT

Establishment – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru R.Rajamanickam, Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives) / Special Officer, Pandalur Industrial Cooperative Tea Factory, the Nilgiris - Retirement from Government service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.9.2007 Afternoon - Orders - Issued.

SMALL INDUSTRIES (E.II.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(D).NO. 96

DATED: 1.10.2007

READ:

From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce Letter Rc.No.27498/ICE1/2006, dated 5.9.2007

ORDER:

The Government permit Thiru R.Rajamanickam, Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives) / Special Officer, Pandalur Industrial Cooperative Tea Factory, the Nilgiris, to retire from Government service in the Afternoon of 30th September 2007, on attaining the age of superannuation.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

P.SELVAM

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Thiru R.Rajamanickam, Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives) / Special Officer, Pandalur Industrial Cooperative

Tea Factory, the Nilgiris. (Thro' the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5)

The Industries Commissioner and

Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5.

The Managing Director, INDCOSERVE, Coonoor, the Nilgiris District.

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18 (By name).

The Treasury Officer, Ooty

Copy to:

The Personnel and Administrative Reforms (L1)Department, Chennai -9.

SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER



ABSTRACT

Establishment – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru B.Muthiah, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives), District Industries Centre, Nagercoil - Retirement from Government service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.9.2007 Afternoon - Orders - Issued.

SMALL INDUSTRIES (E.II.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(D).NO. 97

DATED: 1.10.2007

READ:

From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce Letter Rc.No.27499/ICE1/2007, dated 5.9.2007 and 18.9.2007

ORDER:

The Government permit Thiru B.Muthiah, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives), District Industries Centre, Nagercoil, to retire from Government Service in the Afternoon of 30th September 2007, on attaining the age of superannuation.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

**P.SELVAM
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

Thiru B.Muthiah, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives), District Industries Centre, Nagercoil (Thro' the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5)

The Industries Commissioner and

Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5.

The General Manager, District Industries Centre, Nagercoil.

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18 (By name).

The Treasury Officer, Nagercoil.

Copy to:

The Personnel and Administrative Reforms (L1)Department, Chennai -9.

SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ABSTRACT

Establishment – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru M.V.Rajan, Deputy Director(Electrical and Electronics) Quality Control Order Enforcement Centre, Thiruvanniyur, Chennai-41 - Retirement from Government service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.9.2007 Afternoon - Orders - Issued.

SMALL INDUSTRIES (E.II.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(D).NO. 98

DATED: 1.10.2007

READ:

From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce
Letter Rc.No.16254/EII.1/07, dated 17.9.07

ORDER:

The Government permit Thiru M.V.Rajan, Deputy Director (Electrical and Electronics) Quality control order Enforcement Centre, Thiruvanniyur, Chennai-41 to retire from Government Service in the Afternoon of 30th September 2007, on attaining the age of superannuation.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

**P.SELVAM
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

Thiru M.V.Rajan, Deputy Director (Electrical and Electronics) Quality control order Enforcement Centre, Thiruvanniyur, Chennai-41. (Thro' the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5)

The Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5.

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18 (By name).

The Pay and Accounts Officer(East) , Chennai-5 /

The Pay and

Accounts Officer(South) , Chennai-35.

Copy to:

The Personnel and Administrative Reforms (L1)Department, Chennai -9.
SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER



ABSTRACT

Establishment – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru G.Sivabalan, Deputy Director (MCL), Chemical Testing and Analytical Laboratory, Guindy, Chennai - 32 - Retirement from Government service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.5.2007 Afternoon - Orders - Issued.

SMALL INDUSTRIES (E.II.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(D).NO. 57

DATED:31.5.2007

READ:

From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce Letter Rc.No. Rc.No.4983/EE3/07 dated 30.4.2007 and 22.5.2007.

ORDER:

The Government permit Thiru G.Sivabalan, Deputy Director (MCL), Chemical Testing and Analytical Laboratory, Guindy, Chennai- 32 to retire from Government Service in the Afternoon of 31st May 2007, on attaining the age of superannuation.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

**ARUN RAMANATHAN
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

Thiru G.Sivabalan, Deputy Director(MCL), Chemical Testing and Analytical Laboratory, Guindy, Chennai- 32 (Thro' the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5)

The Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5.

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18 (By name).

The Pay and Account Officer (South) , Chennai-35.

The Joint Director (Chemicals), Guindy, Chennai-32.

Copy to:

The Personnel and Administrative Reforms (L1)Department, Chennai -9.

SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER



ABSTRACT

Establishment – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru P.Amalrajan, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives) , District Industries Centre, Coimbatore - Retirement from Government service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.6.2007 Afternoon - Orders - Issued.

SMALL INDUSTRIES (E.II.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(D).NO. 73

DATED: 2.7. 2007

READ:

From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce Letter Rc.No. 14064/ICE 1/2007 dt. 18.6.2007.

ORDER:

The Government permit Thiru P.Amalrajan, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives), District Industries Centre, Coimbatore to retire from Government Service in the Afternoon of 30th June 2007, on attaining the age of superannuation.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

**P.SELVAM
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To
Thiru P.Amalrajan, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (IC),
District Industries Centre, Coimbatore (Thro' the Industries Commissioner
and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5)
The Industries Commissioner and
Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5.
The General Manager, District Industries Centre , Coimbatore
The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18
The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18 (By name).
The Treasury Officer, Coimbatore.

Copy to:

The Personnel and Administrative Reforms (L1)Department, Chennai -9.
SF/SC

// FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER



ABSTRACT

Establishment - Tamil Nadu Basic Service – Thiru S.Joseph, Office Assistant (Retd.) - Crediting of Earned Leave to his leave account – orders issued .

-Small Industries (EII.1) Department

G.O.(D) No. 35

DATED:29.3.2007

READ:

1. G.O.(D)No. 130, Small Industries (EII.1) Department dated 28.8.2001.
2. From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce Lr. RC.No. 108963/EA1/94 dated 12.3.2004 and 3.8.2006.

ORDER:

The Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce in his letters second read above has stated that Thiru S.Joseph worked as Plumber in the Electrical and Electronic Estate, Trichy on regular basis with effect from 1.6.1977. In 1987, based on the recommendation of O&M Study Team, Orders were issued in Government Order(Ms) No. 102 Education Scientific and Technology Department, dated 9.11.1987, disbanding among other posts, 3 posts of Plumber, which included the one held by Thiru S.Joseph. After serving for more than 10 years, Thiru S.Joseph was ousted from service on 30.11.1987, since there was no suitable post available in the department to absorb him.

2. Aggrieved by this, the individual approached the High Court through a Writ petition which was later transferred to Hon'ble Tamil Nadu Administrative Tribunal. The Hon'ble Tamil Nadu Administrative Tribunal have directed the respondents to consider the applicant for appointment in any posts in the department. In accordance with the direction of the Hon'ble Tamil Nadu Administrative Tribunal, the individual was appointed as Office Assistant in the scale of pay of Rs. 750-12-870-15-945, subject to the condition of obtaining Government Order for relaxation of age and qualification in favour of the individual. He joined duty in the post of Office Assistant on 26.9.94.

3. Subsequently, orders were issued in the Government Order first read above relaxing the relevant rules in favour of Thiru S.Joseph to enable the regularisation of the services of the individual. Based on the Government Order, services of the individual were regularised and probation was declared. The individual retired from service on 30.6.2000. He has got 152 days of Earned



ABSTRACT

Establishment – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru C.K.Ponnusamy, Joint Director of Industries and Commerce / General Manager, (Grade I), District Industries Centre, Erode - Retirement from Government service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.6.2007 Afternoon - Orders - Issued.

SMALL INDUSTRIES (E.II.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(D).NO. 72

DATED: 2.7 .2007

READ:

From the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce Letter Rc.No. 55561/EE1/2006, dated 8.6.2007

ORDER:

The Government permit Thiru C.K.Ponnusamy, Joint Director of Industries and Commerce / General Manager, (Grade I), District Industries Centre , Erode to retire from Government Service in the Afternoon of 30th June 2007, on attaining the age of superannuation.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

**P.SELVAM
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

Thiru C.K.Ponnusamy, Joint Director of Industries and Commerce / General Manager, (Grade I), District Industries Centre , Erode (Thro' the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5)

The Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce, Chennai-5.

The General Manager, District Industries Centre , Erode.

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18

The Accountant General, Chennai 6/Chennai 18 (By name).

The Treasury Officer, Erode.

Copy to:

The Personnel and Administrative Reforms (L1)Department, Chennai -9.

SF/SC

// FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

G.O (3D)

G.O (3D) No. 1, Small Industries Department dated 5.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Tamil Nadu Government Servants conduct Rules 1973 - Thiru V.Dharanikumar , Assistant District Industries Centre, Vellore – Permitted to take up employment abroad – Extension of leave for a further period of two years – Orders – Issued.

G.O (3D) No. 2, Small Industries Department dated 29.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru M.Vellaichamy , Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (IC) – Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O (3D) No. 3 Small Industries Department dated 7.2.2007

ABSTRACT

மேல் முறையீடு - திரு க .திருமுருகன் முதல்வர், விருத்தாச்சலம், அரசு பீங்கான் தொழிற் நுட்ப பயிற்சி நிலையம் - பணியில் குறைபாடுகள் அறியப்பட்டது -ஒழுங்கு நடவடிக்கை - தண்டனையை எதிர்த்து மேல் முறையீடு - ஆணை வெளியிடப்படுகிறது.

G.O (3D) No. 4 Small Industries Department dated 7.2.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru T.S.Dhandayuthapani, formerly Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (IC) (allowed to retire without prejudice to the disciplinary proceedings pending) - Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O (3D) No. 5 Small Industries Department dated 9.3.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru R.Narasimhan, g.M (Retd), District Industries Centre , Dharmapuri – Disciplinary action under Rule 9(2)(b)(1) of Tamil Nadu Pension Rules - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O (3D) No. 6 Small Industries Department dated 17.4.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru V.Rajappan, General Manager, District Industries Centre - Placed under suspension and not allowed to retire on 30.6.04 A.N. – Revocation of suspension and permission to retire from service without prejudice to the disciplinary proceedings pending against him - Orders - Issued.

G.O (3D) No. 7 Small Industries Department dated 17.4.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru Rajappan, General Manager, District Industries Centre (Retd.) – Disciplinary action – Final Orders - Issued

G.O (3D) No. 8, Small Industries Department dated 3.5.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services - Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru B.Nagalingam, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce (IC) formerly Managing Director, Kundha ICTF Ltd (allowed to retire from without prejudice to the disciplinary proceedings pending) – Disciplinary action - Final Orders - Issued.

G.O (3D) No.9, Small Industries Department, dated 28.6.07

ABSTRACT

Public Services – Tamil Nadu Industries Service - Thiru T.S.Dhandayuthapani, formerly Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce (IC) (allowed to retire without prejudice to the disciplinary proceedings pending)- Disciplinary action - Final Orders – Issued.

G.O(MS) No.2, Small Industries Department , Dated 19.1.2007

ABSTRACT

Public Services – Industries and Commerce Department - Thiru P.Periyanayagam, Chief Instructor, Technical Training Centre, Guindy, formerly working as Assistant Engineer, District Industries Centre , Virudhunagar and Thoothukudi – Disciplinary action initiated under Tamil Nadu Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Rules 1955 – Final Orders issued.

Small Industries (EII.2) Department

G.O Ms.No. 2

Dated: 19.1.2007

Read:

- 1.Proceedings of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Nagercoil Rc. B.74/2002, TDPNo.1/2002, dated 15.4.2002 and 31.5.2002.
- 2.Government Lr. No. 15191/EII.2/2002-1 , Small Industries Department , dated 28.7.2004.
- 3.From Thiru S.Periyanayagam further representation dated 29.09.2004.
- 4.From the Secretary, Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission letter dated 4.4.2006.

ORDER:

The Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Nagercoil has framed 8 charges against Thiru P.Periyanayagam, formerly Assistant Engineer, District Industries Centre, Thoothukudi in the Proceedings first read above, conducted the inquiry and held that charges 1,2,3 count (i), (ii), (iii) and (vi) of charge 5, charges 6,7 and 8 levelled against Thiru P. Periyanayagam as proved and held charge No.4 and count (iv) and (v) of charge No.5 as not proved and submitted the report to Government. A copy of the report of the Commissioner for Disciplinary Proceedings , Nagercoil was communicated to the Delinquent Officer, in the Government letter second read above for his further representation. if any, Thiru P.Periyanayagam has submitted his further representation to the Government in the reference third read above.

The details of charges, explanation of the Delinquent Officer, findings of inquiry authority and further representation of the charged Officer are examined as follows:-

Charge No. 1

that while he (Charged Officer) being a public servant was working as Assistant Engineer, District Industries Centre , Thoothukudi, during the check period between 1.3.1990 to 31.12.1993 has acquired assets to the tune of Rs.

3,09,340/- as detailed in the Annexure I to VII which is disproportionate to his known source for which he has not satisfactorily accounted for.

Explanation:

The house site and house in 26-1, Braiyant Nagar, 4th Street, Thoothukudi shown in S.No. 1 and 2 belongs to his wife and it was given by her father during her marriage as 'Sridhanam'. The earnings and expenditure incurred by her on the assets were brought into her account and that the amount shown in SI.No. 1 and 2 is to be deleted from his assets. Similarly, the Investigation Officer has accounted the properties purchased and constructed by his wife from her own income which were shown as his income in SI.No. 5,6,7, 8 and 9 and these are to be deleted. Only the assets mentioned in SI. Nos. 3,4,11 and 12 are to be considered as his asset before the check period and its value comes to Rs. 16,738/- only. Further his savings during his Defence Service Rs. 50,000/-, savings during State Government service Rs. 50,378/- and rental income from 4A,4b Muniyasampuram West Rs.16,250/- totaling Rs. 1,16,628/- have to be taken into his account.

The assets mentioned in SI.Nos. 1,2,5,6,7,8 in Statement II were purchased by his wife from her income which were stated by P.W. 63 are to be deleted. He has added that Tmt. Maheswari is not his family member but he was good guardian as stated in witness statement of P.W.62 and hence the assets shown SI.No. 14,15,16,17, 18 are to be deleted.

In the construction of house buildings at 1A/3A, Braint Nagar, 5th Street Thiru M.Subbiah, P.W.9, Public Works Department Engineer has deposed that the valuation will be reduced if supervision, watering and wood supplied by relatives and added the leftant works have been done after the check period viz., during 1994-1995. Further the purchase of land at 1A/3A, Braint Nagar, 5th Street, Thoothukudi was made by her wife's income by the sale of her property at Vallinayagapuram. Thereby the assets at the end of the check period ie., statement No.II is only SI.Nos. 2,3,11,12 and 22 and total value is Rs. 1,72,723/.

The profits realized from his wife's property was shown as his income. The profit realized by the sale of house at No.433, Sri Ram Nagar, Rajapalayam on 15.4.1993 is Rs. 1,25,000 – 62,000 = 63,000/- and net Rs. 25,466/- as mentioned. So the SI.Nos. 4,5, and 6 are to be deleted. The Investigation Officers have obtained estimates from Thiru U.G.Subramanian, P.W.48, who is not a Government approved Surveyor. The income from known sources mentioned in SI.Nos. 1,2,3,7,8,9 and 10 comes to about Rs. 2,72,965/- only. Referring to Statement No.IV, the Accused Officer has stated that the family consumption expenditure for the check period was calculated as Rs. 1,16,688/-. While estimating the family consumption expenditure cost of living in the area, standard of living, tour travel during the period etc. have to be considered. But Thiru Kamalakannan, P.W. 56 has not taken into account all these things. By taking into account all these things, his family expenditure

comes to Rs. 40,840/- only and net Rs. 1,16,688/-. Likewise the expenditure incurred on the properties and buildings of other family members are accounted in his name which is not correct. Maintenance and fuel expense incurred for the Motor cycle was shown as Rs. 9200/- by considering the tour he has performed by motor cycle . But actually the tour was performed by bus. Moreover, the expense incurred towards the maintenance of family with P.Maheswari, who is not his family member has been included in this expenditure which actually did not come to his account.

In respect of Annexure V, the Accused Officer calculated the value of assets acquired during the check period as follows:-

Asset at the end of check period . . .	Rs.1,72,723
Asset at th beginning of check period ..	Rs. 16,738

Asset acquired during the check period	Rs.1,55,985/-

In respect of Annexure VI, the Accused Officer has calculated his saving during the check period as follows:-

Income during the check period	-	Rs. 3,89,593
Expenditure incurred during the check		
	Period	- Rs. 74,910

Likely savings		Rs 3,14,683

In respect of Annexure VIII, the Accused Officer has calculated the value of assets and savings as follows:-

Value of the assets acquired during the		
check period	Rs. 1,55,985	
Savings	Rs. 3,14,683	

Net savings	Rs. 1,58,702	

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

After taking the income and expenditure of the Charged Officer during the check period it is found that the value of the disproportionate assets held by him are as follows:-

- 1) The value of the asset at the end of check period work out to Rs, 6,35,808.00 ;
- 2) Valued the Assets that stood at the beginning of the check period work out to Rs. 1,68,991.00 ; and
- 3) Assets acquired during the check period work out to Rs. 4,66,817.00

After reducing the expenditure from the income received by the Charged Officer during the check period his likely savings during the check period works

out to Rs. 1,04,697. After deducting the likely savings of Rs. 1,04,697 from the assets value acquired during check period the value of disproportionate assets works out to Rs. 3,62,120. Therefore the charge I against the Charged Officer is held as proved.

Further representation of the Delinquent Officer on the findings of the Inquiry Officer:

His income during the check period include rental income from his houses and the houses in the name of his wife and also the income generated by his wife by tailoring jobs. It has been pointed out in the report of the TDP that he has drawn GPF advances for the medical treatment of his wife during the check period. This shows that his wife was solely dependent on him and she has no independent income even for meeting her medical attendance. Therefore the present statement of the Delinquent Officer can be construed as an after thought to escape from the charge. His action in having not included the rental income received during the check period and subsequently in his Income Tax statement is being dealt with in Charge 7. Hence these submissions have no face.

Charge No.2:

that he did not obtain prior permission from the competent authority as required under rule 7(1)(a) of the Tamilnadu Government Servant's Conduct Rules 1973 for the purchase of the following:-

- 1] 5.9 cents of house site in Sy.No.53 of V.M. Chatram Village on 13.9.1984 in his name.
- 2] 1.52 cents of house site in T.S.No.3786 of Block 35 of Thoothukudi town on 12.11.1984 in his name.
- 3] 5 cents of house site in Sy.No.1709/1 PHBIHI of Meelavittan Village Part II on 1.2.1989 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Muthammal.
- 4] 5.4 cents of house site in Sy.No.494 A/5B2 of Meelavittan Village Part II on 7.3.90 in his name
- 5] 2100 Sq.feet of house site in Sy.No.188/1B of Sammanthapuram Village on 28.11.1990 in his name
- 6] 3.52 cent of house site in Sy.No.480/1A2 of Meelavittan Village Part II on 30.3.92 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Muthammal.
- 7] 1092 Sq.feet of house site in Plot No.2, in Sy.No.531/3B2 of Pandavarmangalam Village on 6.6.1993 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Maheswari.
- 8] 1950 sq.feet of house site in Plot No.6 in Sy.No.531/3B2 of Pandavarmangalam Village on 7.7.1993 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Maheswari.

9] 1950 sq.feet of house site in Plot No.7 in sy.No.531/3B2 of Pandvarmangalam Village on 7.7.1993 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Maheswari.

10] 1092 sq.feet of house site in Plot no, 2 in sy.no.531/3B2 of Pandavarmangalam village on 22.7.1993 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Maheswari.

He has not obtained permission of the competent authority for the sale of the following immovable properties:

i)House in door no.4/56, 4th Street, Vallinayagapuram in Thoothukudi-8 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Muthammal on 11.6.1993.

ii)House in door no.1/5, Sri Ram Nagar, Sammanthapuram Village, Rajapalayam in his name on 15.4.93.

iii)House site of 3900 sq.ft. in plot no.6 and 7 in Sy.No.531/3B2 of Panavarmangalam village in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Maheswari on 26.5.94.

iv)House site of 1092 sq.feet and a house in plot no.2 in Sy.No.531/3B2 of Pandavarmangalam village in the name of Tmt. P. Maheswari on 26.5.94.

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer:

He applied for permission for the purchase of 5.9 cents of house site in Sy. No.53 of V.N.Chatram Village and 1.52 cents of house site in T.S.No. 3786 of Block 35, Thoothukudi Town to the General Manager, District Industries Centre , Tirunelveli. But the applications were returned by noting that permission is not necessary as the land value is less than Rs. 5000/- . He has added that permission is not necessary for the following purchases made in the name of his wife Tmt. P.Muthammal by her own income as per G.O.No. 409 Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department dated 14.12.1992.

1) 5 cents of house site in Sy.No. 1709/1 PHB IHI of Meelavittan Village Part II.

2)52 Cents of house site in Sy. No. 480/1A21 of Meelavitan Village Part II on 30.3.1992.

He also stated that he applied permission for the purchases of the following lands in the name of Accused Officer to the General Manager, District Industries Centre .

1) 5.4 cents of four house site in Sy. NO. 494A/5B2 of Meelavittan Village Part II on 7.3.1990.

2) 2100 Sq.ft of house site in Sy.No. 188/1B of Sammanthapuram village on 28.11.1990.

He has added that the following lands were purchased by Tmt. Maheswari, who is not his family member and so permission need not be obtained by the Accused Officer.

- 1) 1092 Sq.ft. of house site in plot No.6 in Sy.No. 531/3B2 of Pandavar Mangalam village on 16.6.1993.
- 2) 1950 Sq.ft. of house site in plot No. 6 in Sy.No. 531/3B2 of Pandavar Mangalam village on 7.7.1993.
- 3) 1950 Sq.ft of house site in plot No.7 in Sy.531 / 3 B2 of Pandavar Mangalam village on 7.7.1993.
- 4) 1092 Sq.ft house site in Sy. No.532 of Pandavar Mangalam on 22.7.1993.

He also stated that Door No. 4/56, 4th Street, Vallinayagapuram constructed by his wife Tmt. P.Muthammal and no permission need not be obtained for the sale of the same. House site of 3900 Sq.ft in Plot No.6 and 7 in Sy. No.531/3B2 of Pandavar Mangalam village and house site of 1092 Sq.ft and house in Sy. No.531/3B2 of Pandavar Mangalam village in the name of Tmt. P.Maheswari, who is not his family member and so permission is not required. The house in Door No. 1/5, Sri Ram Nagar, Rajapalayam is in his name and that he applied for permission to General Manager, District Industries Centre, Virudhunagar.

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

Sequences of events, evidences documentary and oral prove that P.W 62 Maheswari was the wife of the Charged Officer. The Charged Officer ought to have applied for required permission which he has failed to do. The Charged Officer has not effected purchase and sales of the items covered (i) to (xiv) after giving notice to the prescribed authority is brought out clearly. Since Charged Officer has not given notice to the prescribed authority before making purchases and sales, he has acted in violation of rule 7(i) of Tamil Nadu Government Servants Conduct Rules 1973. The stand taken by the Charged Officer in respect of the properties purchased in the name of P.Muthammal and Maheswari itself prove that the Charged Officer has not taken any efforts to give notice about the purchases to the prescribed authority as required under the relevant conduct rules. Therefore the counts in (i) to (xiv) of charge-2 against the Charged Officer are held as proved.

Further Representation of the Delinquent Officer on the findings of the inquiry officer:

He has accepted guilt of charges 2(iv), xi, and xii and has requested that he may be excused for the lapses. In respect of charges 2(i), (ii), (iii), (iv) he has stated that the value of the property purchased is below Rs.5,000/- each.

For item No.(i) and (ii) he says that he applied for permission and the papers were returned back to him stating that he need not apply for permission as the value was less than Rs.5,000, by the then Superintendent, District Industries Centre.

For item (iv) and (v) also the Charged Officer says that he has applied for permission to General Manager, District Industries Centre, Virudhunagar.

For item No.(vi) the Charged Officer claims that the property was purchased by his wife from out of her income and therefore there is no need to obtain permission from the competent authority.

In respect of items covered in item Nos. (vii), (viii), (ix), (x) and xiv purchased in the name of Maheswari, W/o P.Periyanayagam, the Charged Officer has stated that P.Maheswari is not his wife and she is not his family member.

Charge No.3:

that he did not obtain prior permission from the competent authority as required under rule 7(i) of the Tamilnadu Government Servants Conduct Rules 1973 for the construction of the following:

- i) A shop in door no.4A and a house in door no.4B of Muniasampuram West, Thoothukudi-8 in his name in 1985.
- ii) A house in door no.4/56, 4th Street, Vallinayagapuram, Thoothukudi-8 in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Muthammal in 1989.
- iii) A house in door no.1/5, Sri Ram Nagar, Sammanthapuram Village, Rajapalayam in his name during 1991-92.
- iv) A house in door no.1A/3A, 3rd Street, Bryant Nagar, Thoothukudi-8 in his name during 1991-92.
- (v) Four tiled houses in door nos.277/A5 to A8, Annai Therasa Nagar, Manthithoppu Road, Kovilpatti in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Maheswari in 1993.

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer:

He applied permission for the construction of shop in Door No.4A and house in door No.4B of Muniasampuram to General Manager, District Industries Centre, Tirunelveli which was returned for want of approved building plan. But the Municipal authority did not give permission and he informed it to the General Manager, District Industries Centre. He added that the house in door No. 4/56, 4th street, Vallinayagapuram was constructed by his wife P.Muthammal from her own income and so permission need not be obtained by him. For the construction of a house in door No. 1/5, Sri Ram Nagar, and a house in door No. 1A/4A, 3rd Street, Bryant Nagar, Thoothukudi, he applied for permission to General Manager, District Industries Centre, Virudhunagar, who returned his application for want of approved building plan. Since the house site comes under Panchayat area, the plan approval was not insisted by the local panchayat authority and he intimated the fact to the General Manager, District Industries Centre, Virudhunagar. He also stated that four tiled houses in door No. 277/A5 to A8, Annai Therasa Nagar, Manthithoppu Road was constructed by Tmt. P.Maheswari who is not his family member and so permission need not be obtained.

Findings of Inquiry Officer:

Charged Officer ought to have applied and obtained permission before starting construction, which he has failed. Tmt. Maheswari was the wife of the Charged Officer during the relevant period and as such he ought to have reported to the prescribed authority to construct the house. Charged Officer has failed to report to the prescribed authority as contemplated in 7 (i) (b) of the Tamil Nadu Government Servants Conduct Rules, 1973. Therefore the charge 3 against the Accused Officer is held as proved.

Further Representation of the Delinquent Officer on the findings of the Inquiry Officer:

The construction under counts (i) to (iii) of Charge 3 are illegal construction without obtaining the required permission from the competent authority and hence in the absence of building plan approval, he did not apply for permission. He has accepted item iv of charge 3 and has requested that he may be excused for the lapses. In respect of item v of charge 3 he has stated that the construction stood in the name of Tmt Maheswari and that she is not his wife and that therefore he has not applied for permission.

Charge No.4

that he did not get previous sanction from the Government to accept a gift of 4.5 cents of house site in s.no.823/23 of Meelavittan Village Part II in the name of his wife Tmt. P. Muthammal, on 15.5.86 as required under rule 3(1) of the Tamilnadu Government Servants Conduct Rules, 1973.

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer:

The 4.5 cents of house site in Sy. No.823/23 of Meelavittan village was not given as gift by her father, but she purchased from her own sources of fund. Hence the question of previous sanction from the Government for accepting the gift does not arise.

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

The recital of document marked as Ex. P8 is not a gift deed but a purchase deed. The site was purchased in the name of Tmt P.Muthammal . The Charged Officer can not be held liable for the charge framed because it is not a gift. Accused Officer has stated this as gift in his property statement Ex.P 48 and Ex. 38. The Ex.P 48 was signed by him on 22.5.1996. In statement 1 of Ex.P.48 Accused Officer has taken stand this item as a gift. Accused Officer has given a false statement. In Ex. P.67 the Accused Officer has admitted this item as purchase in the name of Muthammal. Therefore this charge is held as not proved.

Charge No.5:

that he did not obtain prior permission from the competent authority as required in Rule 7(2) of the Tamilnadu Government Servants Conduct Rules 1973 for the purchase of the following:

- i) A Rajdoot motor cycle for Rs.18,990/- in his name in March, 1990

- ii) A colour television for Rs.14,680/- in his name on 7.2.1991
- iii) Gold jewels of 5 sovereigns for Rs.12000/- purchased by him for his daughter P. Sangeethapriya in 1991 and he did not obtain permission from the competent authority for the sale of the following
- iv) Gold jewels of 20 sovereigns for Rs.50000/- in 1990
- v) Gold jewels of 10 sovereigns for Rs.30000/- during 1992-93
- vi) Rajdoot motor cycle for Rs.11000/- in 1995

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer:

He applied for permission for the purchase of Rajdoot Motor cycle while applying for the pay certificate he sought for permission also. But, his wife given funds for the purchase of that motor Cycle and the monthly instalment for the loan amount was also given by his wife. Further he added that the gold jewels of 5 sovereigns for Rs. 12,000/- have been purchased by his wife from the income of the age attaining function of his daughter. Hence the question of getting prior permission does not arise and added that he did not obtain permission for the sale of gold jewels of 20 sovereigns for Rs. 50,000/- in 1990 and gold jewels of 10 sovereigns for Rs. 30,000/- during 1992-93 since the above said jewels belong to his wife (as per G.O 409, P&AR Dept . dated 14.12.1992.) He applied permission for the sale of Rajdoot Motor cycle to General Manager , District Industries Centre, Thoothukudi.

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

Regarding the sale of 20 sovereigns and 10 sovereigns of gold jewels mentioned in (iv) and (v) of charge 5, the Charged Officer has taken a stand that the jewels belong to his wife and for the assets (Sreedhanam) belonging to his wife no approval is required as per G.O.Ms. No. 409 P&AR dated 14.12.1992. Reading of the explanation given in the notes would show the stand taken by the Charged Officer is correct and accordingly charge is under items (iv) and (v) of charge 5 are held as Not proved. The Charged Officer has stated for item No.(vi) of charge that he applied to the District Industries Centre seeking permission for disposal of Rajdoot Motor cycle. Even when the Charged Officer was examined by this Tribunal he took the same stand but he has not produced any documentary evidences to support his case. Therefore the counts mentioned in items (i), (ii), (iii), (vi) of charge 5 are held as proved since the Charged Officer has not reported the purchase and sale as required under Tamil Nadu Government Servants Conduct Rules.

Further representation of the Delinquent Officer on the findings of the Inquiry Officer:

Permission is not required for acquisition of movable property the value of which does not exceed Rupees Fifteen thousand.

Charge No.6

that he while furnishing details to the competent authority in the property statement I to VI dated 22.5.1996 and 3.6.1996, failed to give full and complete details for movable and immovable properties possessed by him and his family members and details of source by which the said properties were acquired by him and misled the department by suppressing the truth in violation of the provisions of rule 7(4) of the Tamilnadu Government Servants Conduct Rules, 1973.

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer :

The property statement submitted by him reveals the complete fact. The defects pointed out such as correction in the property statement, inclusion in the property statements by different inks etc., could not be done by him, as the property statement once submitted could not be get back as per the evidence given by P.W.26 , P.W.19 and P.W.27.

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

The Accused Officer has failed to give full and complete details of movable and immovable properties acquired by him, and by his family members and the source for acquiring such assets in the statement dated 22.5.1996 and 13.6.1996. There are over writings, additions, use of different inks and non availability of the Officer's seal and signature of the officer received are seen in the exhibits. (ExP.33, ExP.34, EXP.35) Since they are not relevant for charge 6. For charge 6 only Ex.P.48 is relevant and nothing else. Hence the charge 6 against the Accused Officer is held as proved.

Further representation of the Delinquent Officer on the findings the Inquiry Officer:

Tmt. Maheswari is not his wife and hence the property purchased in her name was not shown in the property statement.

Charge No.7:

that he while submitting the Income Tax Returns during the check period and there after failed to furnish the details of rental income he received for the houses in door no.4A and 4B, Muniasamipuram West, Thoothukudi and Door No.26-I, 4th Street, Bryant Nagar, Thoothukudi-8 and thereby furnished inaccurate particulars to the department.

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer:

He has not included the income from Door No. 4A and 4B because during the check period and after that period no rent is derived from that building because his parents were staying in the buildings. The Door No.

261, 4th Street, Thoothukudi belongs to his wife , which was given as Sridhanam and hence the question of submitting inaccurate particulars to the Income Tax Department does not arise.

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

In annexure III to the charge 1, the Charged Officer has accepted the particulars given in item 10 and 11 as correct. The Ex.P 67 filed by the Charged Officer it may be seen that he has given Rs. 26575.00 as rental income from Muniasampuram house and Rs. 27050 as rental income from 26 - 1, 4th Street , Brayant Nagar, Thoothukudi during the check period. In his written statement the Charged Officer has further stated that income from Muniasampuram house is Rs.26575 has been shown correctly as income in his account. But he has not included this income in his income tax returns during the check period. The Charged Officer has not given any reply in his written statement for the Brayant Nagar 4th Street property. Only in Ex.P.67 he claims as income from the said property. From analysis of the above facts brought out from the evidences it is clear that the Charged Officer has given inaccurate particulars to the department while furnishing for income tax returns. Hence the charge 7 against the Charged Officer is held as proved.

Further Representation of the Delinquent Officer on the findings of the Inquiry Officer:

During the check period the houses at Door No. 4A and 4B, Muniasampuram West, Thoothukudi and Door No. 26 - I, 4th Street, Brayant Nagar, Thoothukudi were occupied by his children and relatives and no rental income was received.

Charge No.8:

that he has contracted a second marriage with Tmt. P. Maheswari, while a spouse Tmt. P. Muthammal is living, without obtaining the prior permission of the competent authority as required under rule 19(ii) of the Tamilnadu Government Servants Conduct Rules, 1973.

Explanation of the Delinquent Officer:

He has not conducted second marriage. The evidence of Tmt. P.Maheswari, P.W.62, has clearly stated that there was no such 2nd marriage. So the question of obtaining prior permission for his second marriage does not arise. Finally he has stated that he is not guilty of any charges leveled against him.

Findings of the Inquiry Officer:

These entire documents read with evidence tendered by P.W.39, P.W.40, P.W.16, during the relevant period. It is not a civil case. But only an enquiry to find out the truth. From the documentary and oral evidence available

it is confirmed that Charged officer had contracted the second marriage with P.W.62 Maheswari without obtaining permission of the competent authority, P.W.62 was treated a hostile witness. From the reading of the reply given by the Accused Officer to the Investigation Officer on 26.6.2000 it is revealed that the P.W.62 had given complaints against the Charged Officer to the department but today she says she was not married to him. Conveniently she says that the contents of the documents are not known to her but she has acted on the documents , Ex.P17, Ex.P.20,Ex.P.22, Ex.P21, Ex.P27 and Ex.P 52 . In all these documents she has been given the status as wife of the Accused Officer Periyanaayagam. Obviously Maheswari has not told the truth before this forum for reasons known to her. Sequences of events brought out from the oral and documentary evidence confirm that the Accused Officer had contracted second marriage with P.W.62. Therefore TDP reject the evidence of Maheswari on this aspect. Hence the charge No.8 framed against the Charged Officer is held as proved.

Further representation of the Charged Officer on the findings of the Inquiry Officer:

He had done all assistance to the family of Tmt Maheswari as a protector and he also termed her as a prostitute. He has stated that Tmt Maheswari herself has denied that she has not ever treated Thiru Periyanaayagam as her husband.

3.The Government have examined the case of Thiru P.Periyanaayagam, Chief Instructor , TTC , Guindy carefully and independently in the light of the findings of the Inquiry Authority along with the connected records of the case and have concluded that the charges 1,2,3 count (i) (ii), (iii) and (vi) of charge 5, charges 6,7, and 8 levelled against the said Thiru P.Periyanaayagam as proved and held Charge No.4 and count (iv) and (v) of charge No.5 as not proved. For the proven charges the Government have provisionally decided to impose the punishment of dismissal from service on the said Thiru P.Periyanaayagam.

4. The Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission was consulted for its views. The Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission in its letter fourth read above has communicated the views of the Commission in the matter as follows:

“ The allegation levelled against the said D.O. was that while he was working as Assistant Engineer, D.I.C. Thoothukudi he accumulated assets disproportionate to his known sources of income during the check period between 1.3.1990 to 31.12.1993 to the tune of Rs.3,09,340/- which he has not satisfactorily accounted for. The allegations are that he did not obtain prior permission from the competent authority as required under Rule 7(1)(a) of the Tamil Nadu Government Servants Conduct Rules, 1973 for the purchase of house sites in his name, in the name of his wife Tmt. Muthammal and the name of his another wife P. Maheswari and did not obtain prior permission under Rule 7(1)(a) of the Tamil Nadu Government Servant Conduct Rules 1973 for the sale of house sites that stood in the name of his wife Tmt P. Muthammal and Tmt. P. Maheswari, and also did not obtain prior permission from the competent authority as required under Rule 7(1) of Tamil Nadu Government Servant Conduct Rule 1973 for the

construction of shop and houses and did not obtain previous sanction from the Government to accept a gift of 4.5 cents of house site in Sy.No.823/23 in the name of his wife in 1986 as required under Rule 3(1) of Tamil Nadu Government Servant Conduct Rules.

That he failed to give full and complete details of movable and immovable properties held by him and his family members and the details of the source by which the said properties were acquired by him and by suppressing the truth misled the department, and while filing the income tax returns he failed to furnish the details of rental income he received from Muniasampuram house and at 4th Street, Bryant Nagar house.

From the deposition of prosecution witnesses, it is inferred in this case that the fact of renting out the house was not intimated to the competent authority at the appropriate time. The delinquent officer has not established his case for collection of so much of rent. Similarly the D.O. has not informed the tailoring business done by his wife as required under the Tamil Nadu Government Servant Conduct Rules. For the first time, only during 1996 this tailoring theory was introduced, after the Vigilance department called for property statement. Therefore it is an afterthought.

Regarding Charge No.2 the D.O. says for item No.(i) and (ii) that he did apply for permission and the papers were returned back to him stating that he need not apply for permission as the value was less than 5000/- by the then Superintendent District Industries Centre. The D.O. has not produced any proof to confirm his theory. The D.O. has not applied for permission to buy as well as to sell the properties. The D.O. is legally bound to give notice for buying and permission letter for selling, to the prescribed authority, but he has not done so.

From the deposition of the PW 16, 39, 40, 49 and 59 it is confirmed that the marital relations between the D.O. and PW 62 (Tmt. P. Maheswari) existed during the relevant period. From the documentary and oral evidences available, it is confirmed that the D.O. had contracted second marriage with pw 62 without obtaining permission from the Competent authority.

From analysis of the above facts brought out from the evidences it is clear that the D.O. has given inaccurate particulars to the department. Hence the Commission agrees with the findings of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings.

Therefore, the Commission agrees with the Government's proposal of punishment of "Dismissal from Service" on the D.O. and advises the Government accordingly."

5. The Government after careful consideration, have examined the case further, taking into account the views of Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission, all the facts and extenuating circumstances relevant to the case, such as his being an ex-service man Government have decided to impose the punishment of Compulsory retirement from service on the Delinquent Officer. They accordingly, order that Thiru P.Periyanayagam, Chief

Instructor, Government Technical Training Centre, Guindy be imposed with the punishment of Compulsory retirement from Government Service, for the charges held proved against him.

6. A copy of the letter fourth read above containing the views of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission is communicated to the Delinquent Officer along with this order.

(BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR)

**ARUN RAMANATHAN
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To
Thiru. P.Periyannayagam,
Chief Instructor, Government Technical Training Centre, Guindy,
(Thro' the Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and
Commerce, Chennai-5.)
The Industries Commissioner and Director of Industries and Commerce,
Chennai-5.
The Director of Vigilance and Anti Corruption, Chennai-28,
The Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Nagercoil,
The Secretary, Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission,
Chennai-2. (with covering letter)
The Pay and Accounts Officer (East), Chennai-5,
The Accountant General, Chennai – 6/18,
The Accountant General, Chennai – 6/18 (By name),

copy to

Personnel and Administrative Reforms(L1) Department Chennai-9. /
Vigilance Commission, Chennai-9.
SF/SC.